

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/696,727		10/28/2003	Rush E. Simonson	080083.00004	6338		
20350	7590	09/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		D TOWNSEND AN	ARAJ, MI	ARAJ, MICHAEL J			
EIGHTH		DERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRA	NCISCO,	, CA 94111-3834	•	3733	3733		
				DATE MAILED: 09/19/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/696,727	SIMONSON, RUSH E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael J. Araj	3733		

·	Michael J. Araj	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire 1 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) abjected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:	(		-
(M)	EDUAR SUPERVISOR	DOC. ROBERT YPATENT EXAMIN	IER
	1	/	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/696,727

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 21-30 overcome the prior art rejection but as stated in the interview on May 24, 2006 further search and consideration will be necessary.

The affidavit filed has been viewed and will be entered. Claims 21-30 are currently pending in the application.